metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Marina Fainerman-Melnikova,^a Leonard F. Lindoy,^a John C. McMurtrie^a* and William N. Setzer^b

^aCentre for Heavy Metals Research, School of Chemistry, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Alabama, Alabama 35899, USA

Correspondence e-mail: j.mcmurtrie@chem.usyd.edu.au

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.007 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.050 wR factor = 0.123 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

 \odot 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

(1,4,7,11,14,17-Hexathia-9,9,19,19tetrahydroxymethylcycloicosane)silver(I) nitrate

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $[Ag(C_{18}H_{36}-S_6O_4)]\cdot NO_3$, the asymmetric unit comprises two structurally independent, but conformationally similar, complexes, both of which have crystallographic 2/m symmetry. The nitrate counterions were modelled as rotationally disordered, with N atoms on 2/m special positions.

Comment

The title compound, (I), was of interest to us in the context of an investigation of structure–function relationships underlying metal-ion recognition by thioether donor ligands (Lee *et al.*, 2002).



The crystal structure contains two structurally independent, but conformationally similar, silver complexes, both of which have crystallographic 2/m symmetry (atoms Ag1 and Ag2 on 2/m sites, S1 and S3 on special positions with symmetry m, C4 and C9 on twofold axes). The nitrate counterions were modelled as rotationally disordered, with N atoms N1 and N2 on 2/m special positions. *ORTEP*-3 (Farrugia, 1997) depictions of the molecular components of the crystal structure are given in Fig. 1.

The Ag^I coordination is linear, with Ag1–S1 and Ag2–S3 bond lengths of 2.650 (2) and 2.632 (2) Å, respectively (shown as solid bonds in Fig. 1). The remaining four S atoms in each complex are organized around the silver centres, with S2…Ag1 and S4…Ag2 contact distances of 2.97 and 2.98 Å, respectively (shown as dashed lines in Fig. 1); the resulting arrangement of sulfur donors around the Ag^I atom is distorted octahedral (see Table 1 for geometric details).

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.24, Allen, 2002) showed that there is considerable

Received 26 August 2003 Accepted 4 September 2003 Online 11 September 2003



Figure 1

ORTEP depictions of the crystallographically independent complexes in the crystal structure of (I). Both complexes display 2/m crystallographic symmetry. Nitrate counterions are modelled as rotationally disordered on 2/m special positions. The nitrate anion associated with atom N1 has two coplanar orientations. The nitrate anion associated with N2 was modelled with four orientations, two of which are shown. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

variation in reported $Ag^{I}-S$ bond lengths (566 observations, range 2.40–3.00 Å, mean 2.63 Å, s.u. 0.13). The longer contact distances in this structure (S2 \cdots Ag1 and S4 \cdots Ag2) are at the upper limit of Ag-S bond lengths and, while possibly not true coordinate bonds, these contacts are almost certainly significant in the observed configurational organization of the relatively flexible ligands around the Ag^I centres.

The only significant intermolecular interactions are hydrogen bonds between the hydroxy groups and the nitrate counterions, details of which are presented in Table 2, together with normal ionic interactions.

Experimental

The ligand 1,4,7,11,14,17-hexathia-9,9,19,19-tetrahydroxymethylcycloicosane was prepared according to the method of Setzer et al. (1998). The mass spectrum, ES $m/z = 508.9 (L + H)^+$, was in accord with the expected product; the melting point was 430-431 K. The title compound was prepared by the following procedure: A solution of silver(I) nitrate (3.7 mg, 0.022 mmol) in acetonitrile (0.20 ml) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of the ligand (5.6 mg, 0.022 mmol) in ethanol (10 ml). Complexation was evident by the rapid dissolution of the ligand, resulting in a clear colourless reaction solution. Large colourless prismatic crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent in the absence of light.

Crystal data

$[Ag(C_{18}H_{36}O_{4}S_{6})]\cdot NO_{3}$	$D_x = 1.737 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 678.71$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, C2/m	Cell parameters from 943
a = 13.933 (7) Å	reflections
b = 19.401 (10) Å	$\theta = 3.0-28.1^{\circ}$
c = 11.851 (6) Å	$\mu = 1.30 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 125.907 \ (7)^{\circ}$	T = 150 (2) K
$V = 2595 (2) \text{ Å}^3$	Irregular, colourless
Z = 4	$0.43 \times 0.34 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer ω scans	3180 independent reflections 3036 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.018$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1999)	$h = -18 \rightarrow 17$
$T_{\min} = 0.585, T_{\max} = 0.723$	$k = -25 \rightarrow 25$
12 618 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0022P)^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	+ 34.9089P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.123$	where $P = (\hat{F_o}^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.24	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
3180 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.85 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
181 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.95 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	

independent and constrained refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S1-A91	2,6504 (18)	\$3-Ao2	2,632,(2)
S2-Ag1	2.9730 (16)	S4-Ag2	2.9842 (16)
S1-Ag1-S1 ⁱ	180.00 (4)	\$3-Ag2-\$3 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00 (5)
S1-Ag1-S2	76.36 (4)	S3-Ag2-S4	76.50 (4)
S1-Ag1-S2i	103.64 (4)	S4 ^{iv} -Ag2-S4	106.75 (6)
$S2-Ag1-S2^{i}$	180.00 (9)	S4-Ag2-S4 ^v	73.25 (6)
S2-Ag1-S2 ⁱⁱ	73.95 (6)	S3-Ag2-S4 ⁱⁱⁱ	103.50 (4)
$S2^{i}-Ag1-S2^{ii}$	106.05 (6)	S4-Ag2-S4 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.0

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, -z; (ii) 1 - x, y, -z; (iii) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (iv) x, -y, z; (v) 1 - x, y, 1 - z

Table 2	_
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ((Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$01 - H10 \cdots 04^{vi}$	0.912 (19)	1 96 (3)	2,857 (9)	167 (6)
O1−H1O···O4 ^{vii}	0.912 (19)	2.09 (3)	2.963 (10)	160 (4)
O2−H2O···O5 ^{viii}	0.889 (19)	1.86 (3)	2.728 (13)	164 (4)
$O2-H2O\cdots O6^{ix}$	0.889 (19)	2.02 (4)	2.862 (14)	157 (6)
O2−H2O···O8 ^{viii}	0.889 (19)	2.06 (4)	2.905 (19)	159 (6)
$O2{-}H2O{\cdots}O8^x$	0.889 (19)	2.10 (3)	2.94 (2)	157 (3)

Symmetry codes: (vi) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $-\frac{1}{2} - y$, -z; (vii) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $-\frac{1}{2} - y$, z; (viii) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, 1 + z; (ix) $\frac{1}{2} + x, -\frac{1}{2} - y, 1 + z; (x) \frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z.$

CH H atoms were included in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. C-H bond lengths were fixed at 0.99 Å, and $U_{\rm iso}$ fixed at 1.2 times U_{eq} of the parent C atom. The OH H atoms were located in a Fourier difference map and refined with the following restraints: O-H 0.90 Å, C-O-H 109° and U_{iso} fixed at 1.5 times U_{eq} of the parent O atom. The N–O bond lengths for the nitrate counterions were restrained to 1.23 Å.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: SAINT and XPREP (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and WinGX-32 (Farrugia, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: enCIFer (CCDC, 2003).

We acknowledge the Australian Research Council for support.

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giocavazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, S. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- CCDC (2003). *enCIFer*. Version 1.0. Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Cambridge, England.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

- Lee, S. S., Yoon, I., Park, K. M., Jung, J. H., Lindoy, L. F., Nezhadali, A. & Rounaghi, G. (2002). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2180–2184.
- Setzer, W. N., Liou, S.-Y., Easterling, G. E., Simmons, R. C., Gullion, L. M., Meehan, E. J., Grant, G. J. & Gray, G. M. (1998). *Heteroatom. Chem.* 9, 123– 128.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.